



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/526,606	03/16/2000	Robert S. Mancini	3499-59	1911

27383 7590 07/14/2003

CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP
200 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10166

EXAMINER

BASHORE, ALAIN L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/526,606

Applicant(s)

MANCINI ET AL.

Examiner

Alain L. Bashore

Art Unit

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19,22-33 and 35-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19,22-33 and 35-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-7, 9-16, 22, 24-25, 27, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory. The method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis in the body of the claim. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the body of the claim at least one structural / functional interrelationship which can only be computer implemented is considered to have a technological basis [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) – used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 17, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17, 31-32 recite "system" which is vague and indefinite since a system may be one of several different statutory classes of invention (including a method or an apparatus). Applicant must indicate on the record what statutory class of invention the system claims belong to. For the purposes of this examination these claims are considered apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-12, 15-19, 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandebelt et al.

Boesch et al discloses a computer-implemented method, system, a computer executable code residing on a computer-readable medium, and a method of generating a computer data signal, all for providing risk management for online transactions on a

computerized communications network (col 2, lines 62-67; col 3, lines 1-41). Executable software is stored on a server via the network. Data descriptive of a transaction involving the foreign currency is received wherein the transaction occurred within a predetermined time period where there is shown in both local and seller's currency. Currency is exchanged according to the entered price and data is received descriptive of the transaction (col 8, lines 35-53). Re-denomination of currency occurs.

Boesch et al. discloses a transaction as a sale on an e-commerce site, where the transaction may be between a business and a retail customer. The transaction is not precluded from being a business-to-business transaction. The transaction may additionally comprise aggregating transaction amounts where the size of the aggregate amount may be limited (col 8, lines 49-53). There is calculated an expected average amount of base and foreign currency to exchange and entering a agreement at the end of a predetermined time period (col 8, lines 24-25). Bids may be received in an online auction and posted online each bid in a local currency and a seller's currency (col 11, lines 38-54). Risk of exposure is determined (col 2, lines 17-38). It is the examiner's position that the reference inherently includes a "need" that is entered into a "transaction forum".

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill to include the transaction within a brick and mortar retail setting to Boesch et al because Boesch et al teaches obtain information from such a setting (col 8, lines 46).

Art Unit: 3624

Boesch et al does not inherently disclose:

entering an exchange rate for a foreign currency entered into a computer as the foreign currency relates to a base currency; and
exchanging currency according to the entered price.

Vandenbelt et al discloses entering an exchange rate for a foreign currency is inherently entered into the computer as the foreign currency relates to a base currency and exchanging currency according to the entered price (col 2, lines 15-60).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Boesch et al to include entering an exchange rate for a foreign currency is inherently entered into the computer as the foreign currency relates to a base currency and exchanging currency according to the entered price because of what is taught by both Boesch et al and Vandenbelt et al. Boesch et al and Vandebelt et al both teach calculating currency conversions using exchange rates.

7. Claims 13-14 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandenbelt et al as applied to claims 1-12, 15-19, 22-34 above and further in view of Garber.

Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandebelt further in view of Garber.

Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandebelt et al does not explicitly disclose:

commodity transactions or spot price considerations;
guaranteeing an exchange rate; and,
range of transaction amounts.

Garber discloses commodity transactions and spot price considerations regarding foreign currency (col 2, lines 17-63) and range of transaction amounts (fig 6).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include spot price considerations to Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandebelt et al because Garber teaches risk in currency transactions (col3, lines 19-37).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include range of transaction amounts to Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandebelt et al because Garber teaches ranges as important for spot price considerations (col 6, lines 34-44).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include guaranteeing an exchange rate to Boesch et al (621) in view of Vandebelt et al because Garber teaches the importance of stable financial environment (col 4, lines 67-68; col 5, line 1).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 4-21-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Since an exchange rate is inherently fixed until a new rate is determined, there is inherently present "being fixed for a predetermined time period".

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include parties to a transaction desiring to know actual exchange rates for the purpose of added knowledge of the risk commensurate with a transaction.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,606

Page 8

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113.



Alain L. Bashore

July 10, 2003